

( TTR

#### CNA Pilot | Kick-Off IM-RU Phase



Online, 12 September 2022 CNA Pilot | Kick-Off IM-RU Phase

# Agenda

Introduction to TTR Advance Planning and CNAs (Zsolt Ungvári, RNE and Sebastian Naundorf, FTE)	RailnetEurope
CNA Pilot Plans for TT2025 (Josef Zitzler and Zsolt Ungvári, both RNE)	RailNetEurope
Information about ECMT IT system (Aleksandar Markelic, RNE)	RailNetEurope
Experience from FTE CNA Pilot (Sebastián Čarek, FTE, Christine Römermann, DB Cargo DE, Boris Ottmar, ZSSK)	FORUM TRAIN EUROPE
Experience from Pilot Amsterdam – Brussels (Thomas Vanbeveren, Infrabel)	INFR/ABEL ProRail
Q&A	

ATTR

FTE

Code of Conduct

# 

ATTR

ете 👯

# Introduction to TTR Advance Planning and Capacity Needs Announcements (CNA)





#### - Key elements of TTR process – Focus on advanced planning

**Main focus** 

- >	X-60 - X-36	Capacity Strategy	Те		Capacity Strategy	Capacity Model	Capacity Supply
dvance	X-36- X-18	Capacity Model	ہ mpora	AA	Overview on the infra Description of	Pre-planning of passenger & freight volumes	<ul> <li>365 days</li> <li>overview</li> <li>Capacity</li> </ul>
• 0	X-18- X-11	Capacity Planning & Supply	lanning, coc ry Capa		main TCR and traffic planning principles	Traffic solution during major & high impact	diagram, which displays pre- planned paths &
Requ		Path / Capacity Requests	ordinating c <b>ity Re</b>		Information on crucial Major impact TCRs	TCRs.	<ul> <li>bandwidths</li> <li>TCRs are also considered</li> </ul>
lests al	X-11	Annual Requests (incl. Late Requests) Rolling Planning Requests Ad Hoc Requests	, publishir strictic		Capacity Strategy 2025		
, uq		Path Modification / Alteration / Cancellation	ns (TC	3efted	el, Porflad, ACP, DB Mey, AG, ISBD Intrastruktor AG, BLS Mez AG, OBB Intrastruktor AG and RPT S.p.A Press 1.8 Jan. 2011	SubirA. Solid All Scion Linder All Scion Linder	Capacity blocked for TCRs
	After allocation	Train Operation	Rs)	inf (DB)	RABEL ProRail 3		Pre-planned paths with exact path details Capacity bands with one or several paths
		X-# = Number of months before the day of timetable change	P	Ø	BB Zan		

((TTR

#### Why do we need Capacity Models?

Because the CMs have numerous added values:



RNE

Harmonised cross-border capacity planning



Overview on possible future capacity bottlenecks, nationally and cross-border



Overview on the pre-planned capacity volumes on European scale



Facilitated consultation of traffic solutions during different periods e.g. in case of Major & High impact TCRs

#### Capacity Model – Overviews on different levels





**KTTR** 



FTE -

Network level overview	Line level overview	Section overview
General overview on capacity a very high level (e.g. capacity situation of a selected country)	Capacity situation on a selected line to facilitate the cross-border harmonisation and creation of variants (e.g. during TCR periods)	Capacity situation between two PLCs (practically between two neighbouring ones e.g. borders or between main national hubs)
Mostly used by: higher management levels, MoT, EC etc.	Mostly used by: IMs, Applicants	Mostly used by: Applicants

#### Capacity Model – General timeline

X-36	Start of the Capacity Model phase
X-26	IMs invite the Applicants to submit CNAs
X-24	Deadline to submit the Capacity Needs Announcements
X-23	The Applicants, who submitted CNA(s) are notified about the result of the analysis of the IMs (Acknowledged/To be updated/Not (fully) considered)
X-22.5	If the IMs ask for additional information on the CNA, then the concerned Applicants shall send the requested set of information to the IMs
X-22	The IMs conclude which CNAs will be taken into account during the creation of draft Capacity Models (Acknowledged/Not considered)
X-21	Deadline to publish draft Capacity Models
X-18	Deadline to publish final Capacity Models / Capacity Partitioning
X-12	Deadline to publish the draft of updated Capacity Models (inclusion of volumes planned after X-18 e.g. additional ad hoc volumes)
X-11	Deadline to publish the final version of the updated Capacity Models

ATTR

Standard TTR process

ете 👯

Interim TTR process till the implementation of Capacity Supply

#### Overview on Capacity Supply

- Supply is presented as 365-day overview capacity diagram:
  - Pre-planned paths
  - Bandwidths with number of slots
  - TCRs considered



((TTR

- Harmonization with neighboring IMs forms an integral part of the process
- Acknowledged CNAs (of the Capacity Models) are foreseen to be traceable in the Capacity Supply
- Timeline for preparation
  - X-18 X-11 to prepare and publish the Capacity Supply
  - X-11 X-5 to updates according to timetabling phases (e.g. after construction ATT release)
  - M-150 days M-30 days to update the Capacity Supply due to new minor TCRs (in line with the Path Alteration process)

**Disclaimer**: This is an indicative overview based on the Long Process Description – Processes might be adapted depending on ongoing developments (I. e. the Capacity Supply Handbook)



# What are CNAs?

RNE

- CNAs are new mean by which applicants (RUs, non-RU applicants and others) can influence the advance capacity planning.
- Standardised information to IMs about some future capacity needs

#### In TTR CNAs should serve as:

- Input for Capacity Model
- Input for Capacity Supply (capacity products)
- Where applicable: input for handling the TCR



# How does a CNA look like?

- Standardised data structure developed by IMs-RUs (to be tested)
- The submission can be:

**(RNE** 

- general (expected train volumes per defined period)
- detailed (wished future timetable)

CAPACITY PRODUCT TYPE     CATEGORY*     STATUS QUO     TRAFFIC CONTRACTED     TYPE OF CONTRACT     MAX JOURNEY TIME       Annual TT     •     International •     •     Yes •     •     •	
FROM VALIDITY PERIOD *       TO VALIDITY PERIOD *         12/12/2021       10/12/2022	
CIRCULATION DAYS ALL DAYS MONDAY TUESDAY WEDNESDAY THURSDAY FRIDAY SATURDAY SUNDAY CALENDAR*	×⊒
	OR
FAVOURITE ROUTE     FROM LOCATION *     TO LOCATION *       Select     *     MILANO CENTRALE - IT1700     *     + ADD WAYPOINT     Visp - CH1605     *	MTTR ECH

 $\Lambda$  TTE

## CNA: more to know

RNE

- Submission of CNAs is not mandatory,
- In fully implemented TTR: IMs are processing CNAs through whole advance planning,
- CNA processing does not lead to capacity allocation,
- IMs can not guarantee that the Model/Supply will fully reflect the CNA wish, but: IMs are consulting applicants where the wish cannot be fully considered.
- Anyone can receive the path later on in path allocation (not limited to CNA submitter)



# Added value of CNAs for IM planning

• Input for early detection of capacity shortage (giving time for IMs and applicants to find alternatives and counter-measures)

RNE

- Information about traffic flows that cannot be always predicted by historical data (passenger open-access, new wagonload concepts, some combined transport trains)
- Input for alternative traffic solutions for TCR periods.
- Information for IMs when will be the high-demand periods. (even if all needs cannot be satisfied in the Models – information for IM when to avoid small TCRs)
- Input for construction of Capacity Supply, without input the IMs might invest time in construction of products not fitting to market needs and potentially not requested (experience from the RFCs)



**NTTR** 

ете 🖏

(14)



#### **—** Scope of the CNA Pilot

ΔΤ	Geographical scope: Axis between Breclav – Tarvisio B. and	Capacity Model	Х
AI	Spielfeld – Graz – Bruck a. d. Mur	Capacity Supply	
	<b>Geographical scope:</b> all international lines with ProRail, DB Netz and CFL: border crossings Essen/Roosendaal, Meer/Hazeldonk, Visé/Eijsden (NL), Montzen/Aachen-West, Hergenrath/Aachen- Sud (DE), Gouvy/Troisvierges, Rodange/Aubange &	Capacity Model	х
BE	Sterpeninch/Kleinbettingen (CFL-ACF). This includes the HSL connections between Brussels and Germany and Brussels and the Netherlands, and the main freight axes to Germany, Luxembourg and the Netherlands (all from Antwerp via RFC RALP and RFC NSM)	Capacity Supply	Х
	Geographical scope: Dobova-Zagreb-Sid, Gyekenyes-Zagreb-	Capacity Model	х
	Rijeka	Capacity Supply	

ATTR

ete 👯

#### **—** Scope of the CNA Pilot

67	Coorrenties const Décelor - Debumín Codes	Capacity Model	Х
CZ	Geographical scope: Breclav – Bonumin, Bonumin - Cauca	Capacity Supply	
	<b>Geographical scope:</b> Border crossings, and main section connection to	Capacity Model	Х
HU	<b>Geographical scope:</b> Border crossings, and main section connection to Capacity Strategy (the main lines including RFCs, TEN-T and suburban area).	Capacity Supply	
	Geographical scope: Luino-Gallarate, Domodossola and Chiasso-Milano	Capacity Model	Х
	Smistamento, Domodossola-Novara, Luino-Novara, Domodossola-Gallarate	Capacity Supply	
NII	<b>Coorraphical scope:</b> PECs and main international lines	Capacity Model	Х
	Geographical scope. RECS and main international lines	Capacity Supply	

ATTR

FTE

#### **—** Scope of the CNA Pilot

	Coographical cooper Bugurosti Bangasa - Dalas	Capacity Model	Х
ĸŬ	Geographical scope: Bucuresti Baneasa - Palas	Capacity Supply	
	Geographical scope: The core network: lines currently in RFC 5	Capacity Model	Х
<b>SK</b>	and their re-routings.	Capacity Supply	
	Coornershied coorner Crieffeld Strees. Marihan Dahawa	Capacity Model	Х
♥ SI	Geographical scope: Spielfeld-Strass – Maribor - Dobova	Capacity Supply	
	Geographical scope: Basel - Domodossola Basel - Luino Basel -	Capacity Model	Х
	Chiasso	Capacity Supply	

ATTR

FTE

#### **—** Scope of the CNA Pilot – ScanMed RFC

DE	Coographical coope*: Masshop Dadhorg	Capacity Model	
	Geographical scope : Maschen - Pauborg	Capacity Supply*	Х
	Geographical scope: Padborg-Peberholm*	Capacity Model	Х
DK	National CNA process and possible scope for Passenger RUs under consideration	Capacity Supply*	Х
	Geographical scope*: Kornsjö – Gothenburg – Malmö –	Capacity Model	
SE	Peberholm, Stockholm – Mjölby – Malmö, Mjölby – Hallsberg	Capacity Supply*	х
	Geographical scope*: Alnabru – Oslo	Capacity Model	
		Capacity Supply*	Х

**(**TTR

\*Scope limited to the ScanMed RFC products (e.g. PaPs)

ete 👯

# CNA Pilot – Timeline (1)



RNE

17 October 2022: IMs invite Applicants to submit CNAs into





> 12 December 2022: Last day for Applicants to submit CNAs into







9 January 2023: Notification about the results of first analysis of submitted CNAs (Acknowledged/To be updated/Not (fully) considered)



**30 January 2023:** Update the CNAs (in case it was requested by the IM)

Consultation on not fully considered CNAs

# CNA Pilot – Timeline (2)



✓ Intermediate workshop to assess the first findings and formulate recommendations regarding the next steps

- X
- 13 February 2023: Conclusion on the submitted CNAs (Acknowledged/Not considered)



20)



13 March 2023: Publication of draft Capacity Models. Acknowledged CNAs considered during the creation

✓ IM – Applicant consultation on those CNAs, which cannot be fully in considered in the final Capacity Model. Aim is to try to find alternative solutions.

# CNA Pilot – Timeline (3)



> **12 June 2023:** Publication of final Capacity Models

June 2023: Online survey to collect information on gained experiences & proposals for the further development of Capacity Needs Announcement process

#### Capacity Needs Announcement pilot – Aims & Planned evaluation



RNE

Monitor the behaviour of Applicants and IMs during the pilot



Define KPIs, which can be smoothly generated by ECMT



Create short summaries about the results, which can be analysed together by the Applicants and IMs



Evaluation of behaviour of Applicants & IMs is planned to be based on the following:

- KPIs would be measured after each milestone
- Measurement of the compliance with deadlines (e.g. CNA is updated on time, if requested)
- > Analysis of results to measure the ratio of different outcomes (acknowledged/to be updated/not (fully) considered)

Detailed information on the planned KPIs can be found in the Annex of this presentation

### Useful links





**NTTR** 

C.



Link

ΕN

FTE 3

(23)

# **Contact the IMs for more information**

https://ttr.rne.eu/implementation/implementation-next-steps-contacts/





# European Capacity Management Tool (ECMT)



(25)

# Access to ECMT (until 10<sup>th</sup> of October 2022)

(CTTF

Open link https://ecmt.staging.i0.hu/

Once a pop-up window shows up, enter "iv" both as username and password

#### Sign in

https://ecmt.staging.i0.hu

Username	iv		
Password			
		Sign in	Cancel

## Access to ECMT (from 17<sup>th</sup> of October 2022 onwards)

Once the Pilot timeline officially starts at X-26 (October 17<sup>th</sup>), the submission of CNAs is possible in the production environment of ECMT.

Data/Information entered into staging environment prior 10<sup>th</sup> of October will be migrated into production environment. (Data inputs given in staging after 10<sup>th</sup> of October WILL NOT be moved into Production environment!)

Open link https://ecmt-online.rne.eu/

RNE



Email address

 $\square$ 

visit us unonymous prom	Visit	as	anonymous	profil
-------------------------	-------	----	-----------	--------

Remember me <u>Forgotten passw</u>	ord
Password	

Questions or comments? Please contact ECMT support

ECMT Documentation



Co-financed by the Connecting Europe Facility of the European Union

#### Welcome to **European Capacity Management Tool**

**(**TTR

Login to access your account.

те 🖓



**KTTR** 

ore 🖓

#### Capacity Model – feeding ECMT

- 1. Inclusion of the intended capacity usage line:
  - It should be calculated for every railway line to:
    - Detect pressuring points;
    - Have a clear picture on unplanned capacities;
    - To facilitate the estimation of shares of TCRs.

The intended capacity usage line can be calculated based on the following inputs:

- (1) Railway line (mandatory)  $\rightarrow$  should be calculated for every railway line;
- (2) Primary Location Code (PLC) level information (optional) → It is possible to also share PLC level information and calculate the intended capacity usage line on PLC level;
- (3) Period (mandatory) → It is possible to set one figure for the whole day or to set it for periods/hours.

( TTR

Calculation can be based on:

- Historical data stemming from RNE TIS/national traffic management system;
- Own estimation of the IM.

## - Give it a try!



ATTR

ete 👯

(31)



# Question

((TTR

What is your knowledge about CNAs now?

- I heard all for the first time in the meeting
- I was familiar to a certain extent
- Very limited new information (I knew most already)

ere 🖓

32)

# **BREAK (10min)**

**KTTR** 

те 🖓

(33)

# Experience: FTE CNA Pilot (April – July 2022)

**(**TTR





34)



# FTE CNA Pilot (RU-only phase)



IRNE

- FTE Plenary Assembly launched RU-only pilot (April-July 2022)
- The aim:
  - Test the process (on RU side)
  - Test the data structure and data availability
  - Define new the functional requirements for ECMT
  - Get realistic expectations for the second phase (RU-IM)
- FTE published manual and excel sheet after consultation with IMs
- RUs created first CNAs
- 14 RUs took part in the pilot
- Feedback and learning have been delivered to IM-RU bodies

Countries, where the CNAs were tested in the 1st phase

# Experience from the pilot





Current IT not suitable



Level of detail



Leading RU – submitter of whole CNA



Route depends on the partner, which is not known at X-24



Hybrid situation – CNA and non-CNA line





Current IT not suitable: manual work not handable



Benefits for CNAs submitters should be clarified

The process cannot be closed at X-24 (market demand develops)





36)

# Experience from the pilot (summary)

#### **Process / Implementation**

RNE

- Only <u>some</u> market segments predictable at X-24
- Final/only deadline at X-24 <u>not</u> sustainable: world is changing → updates <u>shall</u> be possible
- Full CNA (Origin-Destination) submission by the leading applicant will be the frequent case
- Mixed RU feelings from the geographical scope for CNAs
  - How to handle CNAs where part of the journey out of scope?
  - Critical: DB Netz and SNCF R missing
- RU benefits for doing CNAs should be clearly defined and communicated
- CNA handling <u>without IT</u> not possible in larger scale (central + IM IT + RU IT)

#### **IT and Technical**

Ο

- Better documentation needed
- Data quality issues in databases of IMs
- Different days can have different parameters / timetable – currently not reflected
- Suggestion to change some data fields

# Expectations for IM-RU phase

- High amount of **manual work**  $\rightarrow$  will lead to not high number of CNAs for TT2025 and TT2026
- Missing CNA lines of DB Netz and SNCF R might demotivate some RUs
- Availability of ECMT is needed (at least for data upload, editing and submission)

#### Feasible approach for pilot TT2025:

- CNAs rather additional input for first Capacity Models/Supply
- The submitted CNAs investigated more thoroughly by the IM and consulted with the involved RUs (kind of early feasibility study)
- Options where to put focus:
  - New or significantly changed traffic concepts
  - Traffic running through a bottleneck/congestion
  - Wished alternative in case of a Major/High impact TCR (must be driven by IMs)



# Experience: Amsterdam – Brussels Pilot (ongoing)

39)

**KTTF** 

# INFR/ABEL ProRail

# Capacity Model conception: How?

#### Data input: 3 options

Historical data

- Paths requested (3 5 year period)
- Train runs (3 5 year period)

Evolution prognosis

**NTTR** 

- Socio-economic
- Historical trends



ете 🖏

40)

- National customer platforms
- RFC capacity needs survey



## CNA status before 2022

RNE

No agreed format No agreed process

RU and IM wish to not initiate formal CNAs (as new process element)

- Passenger: existing exchanges are working fine
- Freight: too early in process, request to IMs to use historical data

# Capacity model pilot: build up



**NTTR** 

ete 👯

# Capacity Model conception: pro/con CNA

# CNAs



**NTTR** 

- International standardisation
- One time input

- New process step
- (too) early in process (cfr. RP process)
- Direct information
- Considerable IT developments needed

Existing applicant input

- Existing usual processes
- Fragmented
- Workload (for international users)
- Direct information
- Customisation per market segment/client type

ете 🖏

# Conclusion for next steps

RNE

- On the pilot no formal CNA process was introduced yet because similar mechanisms exist and no formal TTR CNA process was ready
- Workload and framework are important aspects:
  - Quick and usable input/output
  - No 'one-on-one' link between a specific CNA and capacity in the model
     ➔ Info to enrich data set
  - CNAs are optional → no discrimination if a RU does not provide CNAs

Cornerstones for future CNA pilots:

Look for the added value and the holes in the current existing process

Do not add red tape to a process which was intended to be simplified

# Pilot next steps

CNA pilot is under consideration

Important elements :

- IT development: ECMT dataflow CNAs not yet clear

((TTR

- Client input → what will be the work load

# THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR KIND ATTENTION!

Canada Elect



# **Q&A** Now is your space!



(47)

# Back up slides

#### Capacity Needs Announcement pilot – Planned evaluation

Timing	Measured party	Aim	KPIs and Analysis
After 12 December 2022 (X-24 in CM process)	Applicants & IMs	To monitor the submitted CNAs / IM	Overview: number of successfully submitted CNAs (per IM, per border)
After 9 January 2023 (X-23 in CM process)	IMs	To measure, whether the IMs notified the Applicants on time about the results of first analysis	Number of CNAs with the results of first analysis divided by submitted CNAs (per IM and per applicant)
After 9 January 2023 (X-23 in CM process)	IMs	To monitor the ratio of different outcomes after the first analysis grouped by IMs	Number of Acknowledged/To be updated/Not (fully) considered CNAs, divided by submitted CNAs (per IM and per border)
After 9 January 2023 (X-23 in CM process)	Applicants	To monitor the ratio of different outcomes after the first analysis grouped by Applicants	Number of Acknowledged/To be updated/Not (fully) considered CNAs divided by submitted CNAs (per Applicant)
After 30 January 2023 (X-22.5 in CM process)	Applicants	To monitor, whether the Applicants answered to the IMs on time in case it was requested by the IM	Number of updated CNAs divided by all "CNAs to be updated" (per Applicant)
After 13 March 2023 (X-21 in CM process)	IMs	To monitor the ratio of different outcomes after the conclusion on CNAs grouped by IMs	Number of Acknowledged/ Not considered CNAs divided by submitted CNAs (per IM and per border)

49)

((TTR

Disclaimer: the KPI value per an applicant will not be publicly available without permission from the applicant, to ensure confidentiality.

#### Capacity Needs Announcement pilot – Planned evaluation

Timing	Measured party	Aim	KPI – definition
After 13 March 2023 (X-21 in CM process)	Applicants	To monitor the ratio of different outcomes after the conclusion on CNAs grouped by Applicants	Number of Acknowledged/ Not considered CNAs divided by submitted CNAs (per Applicant)
After 13 March 2023 (X-21 in CM process)	IMs	To monitor, whether all acknowledged CNAs are incorporated into the draft Capacity Model	Number of CNAs incorporated into the draft Capacity Model divided by all acknowledged CNAs (per IM)
After 13 March 2023 (X-21 in CM process)	IMs		Number of not fully considered CNAs in draft CM divided by submitted CNAs (per IM, per Applicant) Further monitoring of these CNAs: consulted (Yes/No), reasons for not incorporation.
After 12 June 2023 (X-18 in CM process)	IMs	To measure the amount of acknowledged CNAs, which could not be incorporated into the final Capacity Model (=consultations did not result in alternative solutions)	Number of CNAs incorporated into the final Capacity Model in relation to CNAs considered in the draft Capacity Model (per IM and per border)
After 12 June 2023 (X-18 in CM process)	IMs		Number of not fully considered CNAs in final CM divided by submitted CNAs (per IM, per Applicant) Further monitoring of these CNAs: consulted (Yes/No), reasons for not incorporation.

(50)

((TTR

Disclaimer: the KPI value per an applicant will not be publicly available without permission from the applicant, to ensure confidentiality.

#### Capacity Model – Intended capacity usage line – Historical data

Intended capacity usage line: indicates the maximum number of trains, which can be accommodated without paying special attention on capacity / traffic management

The automatic calculation of the intended capacity usage is based on the bell curve theory.

RNE



The methodology of the model enables the IMs to avoid the extremes and to define the intended capacity usage line based on real data which can be later adjusted.

51

It is possible to plan volumes above the intended capacity line.

#### Capacity Model – feeding ECMT

Import the data required for the Capacity Model:

• The time data of the volumes should be uploaded into the ECMT on Primary Location Code (PLC) level for each railway line (min 1 point / line).

 $\Lambda$  TTS

LeadRU Coordinating IM TrainType TrafficType uctType Category Category Traffic Traffic Contracted Type Of Type Of Contracted Type Of Contracted Type Of Contracted Type Of Derimary Location Name Code ISO Primary Location Name ALD	R Passenger Long distance ATT National DE 12453 Dresden Hbf 17:00	Passenger Long distance ATT National DE 12470 Dresden- Neustadt 17:00	Passenger Long distance ATT National DE 12845 Elsterwerda 17:00	R Passenger Long distance ATT National DE DE 12267 Doberlug- Kirchhain 18:00	Passenger Long distance ATT National National DE DE 10851 Flughafen BER - Terminal 1-2 19:00	Passenger Long distance ATT National DE 10947 Berlin Südkreuz 19:00	Passenger Long distance ATT National DE 10871 Berlin Hbf 19:00	R Passenger Long distance ATT National DE DE 11005 Berlin Gesundbrunnen 19:00	Passenger Long distance ATT National DE 18138 Oranienburg 20:00	Descensor Long distance ATT National DE 17056 Neustralitz Lihf	Passenger Long distance ATT National DE 17656 Neustrelitz Hbl 20:00	Passenger     Long distance     ATT     National     DE     17656     Neustrent2 Hbl     20:00       R     Passenger     Long distance     ATT     National     DE     20784     Waren(Müritz)     20:00
StatusQuo Traffic Contracted Type Of Contract Max Journey												
Category StatusOuo	National	National	National	National	National	National	National	National		National	National National	National National National
TrafficType CapacityProd uctType	ong distance ATT	ong distance ATT	ong distance ATT	ong distance ATT	ong distance ATT	ong distance ATT	ong distance ATT	ong distance ATT		ong distance ATT	Long distanceATTLong distanceATT	ong distance ATT ong distance ATT ong distance ATT ong distance ATT
Coordinating IM TrainType	Passenger	Passenger	Passenger	Passenger	Passenger	Passenger	Passenger	Passenger	i usseiligei	Passenger	Passenger Passenger	Passenger Passenger Passenger
LeadRU Coordinating												
CMVariant	Standard non-TCR	Standard non-TCR	Standard non-TCR	Standard non-TCR	Standard non-TCR	Standard non-TCR	Standard non-TCR	Standard non TCR	Stanuaru non-rek	Standard non-TCR	Standard non-TCR Standard non-TCR	Standard non-TCR Standard non-TCR Standard non-TCR
Title	IC - Dresden - Rostock	IC - Dresden - Rostock	IC - Dresden - Rostock	IC - Dresden - Rostock	IC - Dresden - Rostock	IC - Dresden - Rostock	IC - Dresden - Rostock	IC Drosdon Postock	IC - DIESUEII - KUSLUCK	IC - Dresden - Rostock	IC - Dresden - Rostock IC - Dresden - Rostock IC - Dresden - Rostock	IC - Dresden - Rostock IC - Dresden - Rostock IC - Dresden - Rostock IC - Dresden - Rostock
OTN	2172	2172	2172	2172	2172	2172	2172	2172	21/2	2172	2172 2172 2172	2172 2172 2172 2172 2172
Timetable Year	2024	2024	2024	2024	2024	2024	2024	2024	2024	2024	2024 2024 2024	2024 2024 2024 2024
Variant	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00 00 00	00 00 00
Core	202111260836	202111260836	202111260836	202111260836	202111260836	202111260836	202111260836	202111260836	202111200050	202111260836	202111260836 202111260836	202111260836 202111260836 202111260836
Company	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	00	80	80 80	80 80 80 80
GROUPID ObjectType	55 CP	55 CP	55 CP	55 CP	55 CP	55 CP	55 CP		55 CP	55 CP	55 CP 55 CP 55 CP	55 CP 55 CP 55 CP 55 CP
GROUPID	55	55	55	55	55	55	55		55	55	55 55 55	55 55 55

ECMT shall automatically calculate the information regarding those PLCs, which are on the concerned line, but were not filled with information by the IMs.



**(**TTR

Network level overview	Line level overview	Section overview
General overview on capacity a very high level (e.g. capacity situation of a selected country)	Capacity situation on a selected line to facilitate the cross-border harmonisation and creation of variants (e.g. during TCR periods)	Capacity situation between two PLCs (practically between two neighbouring ones e.g. borders)
Mostly used by: higher management levels, MoT, EC etc.	Mostly used by: IMs, Applicants	Mostly used by: Applicants

ете 🖏

Capacity Model – Generating the models (section level overview)

RNE

Tool generates the Capacity Models based on the requests of the users. The Capacity Model visualises the values, which are valid at the first planning point (PLC).



In case the model is generated for the volumes from point A to C, then it takes into account the time data, which is available at point A.

Therefore, the volumes from point B towards point C will not be considered during the generation of the Capacity Model between the points A and C.

#### Capacity Model – Generating the models (line level overview)

((TTR

Tool generates the Capacity Models based on the requests of the users.

(RNE



#### Capacity Model – Generating the models (network level overview)

((TTR

Basis for the generation of network level overviews is the uploaded information on:



- 1. Traffic volumes & TCRs
- 2. Intended capacity usage line

#### Categorisation of railway lines

Network level overview can be generated on:







ere 🖓

(56)

Possible capacity shortage	Volume(s) can be found in the analysed period already above the intended capacity usage line							
Sufficient capacity	city shortageVolume(s) can be found in the analysed period already above the intended capacity usage lineImacityThe number of planned volumes is under the intended capacity usage line and consumes in case of > hourly overview more than 80% > daily overview more than 50% of the available capacities under the intended capacity usage line.ImacityThe number of planned volumes is under the intended capacity usage line of the available capacities under the intended capacity usage lineImacityThe number of planned volumes is under the intended capacity usage line and there is room for unplanned capacities to accommodate additional needs (volumes consume in case of hourly overview less than 80% / daily overview less than 50% of the available capacities under the intended capacity usage line).							
Capacity surplus	The number of planned volumes is under the intended capacity usage line and there is room for unplanned capacities to accommodate additional needs (volumes consume in case of hourly overview less than 80% / daily overview less than 50% of the available capacities under the intended capacity usage line).							

#### Capacity Model – Calendar view



RNE

IMs will be able to create different CMs for different periods (in theory 365 variants)  $\rightarrow$  users have to indicate for which day/period the Capacity Model should be generated.

2021 Calendar

								7										-	~	<b>~</b> .									
bjectType	ompany	ore	ariant	imetable ear	TN	itle	MVariant		s 1 3 10 17 24	J4 6 11 12 15 19	8 7 13 14 20 2 27 21	F 1 8 15 1 22 3 29	2 9 16 23 30	5 7 14 21 28	M 1 8 15 22	Febru 2 3 9 10 16 17 23 24	<b>T</b> 4 11 18 1 25	₹ 1 5 1 12 1 26 2		M 1 8 15 22 9	Mc 7 8 16 23 30	w T 3 4 10 11 17 18 24 25 31	F 5 12 19 26	5 6 13 20 27	\$ 4 11 18 25	M T 5 6 12 13 19 20 26 21	April w 7 1 14 0 21 7 28	T F 1 2 8 9 15 16 22 23 29 30	
	3032	202111260836	>	► ≻ 2024	/1150	M demo 1	O Working days		T		May	-	ā			Jur	10		16		J	uly		)(			Augu	at	
	3032	202111200830	00	2024	4150	CM demo 1	Working days		2	3 4	5 0	7	1 8		7	1 2 8 9	3 10	4 1		( B)	6	7 8	2 9	3	1 1	2 3	4	5 6 12 13	
CP	3032	202111260836	00	2024	4150	CM demo 1	Working days		9 1	10 11 17 18	12 13	i 14 ) 21	15	13	21	15 16 22 23	17	16 1		1 12 8 19	13 20	14 15 21 22	16 23	17 24	15 22	16 17 23 24	18 25	18 20 26 27	
CP	3032	202111260844	00	2024	4152	CM demo 2	Working days		30	31	20 2.				20	20 30		20		~ ~	44	20 28		7					2
СР	3032	202111260844	00	2024	4152	CM demo 2	Working days			Sej v T	w T	F	8	5	м	T W	T	,		M	T	W T	F	5	5	мт	w	T F	
СР	3032	202111260844	00	2024	4152	CM demo 2	Working days	1	5	6 7 13 14	10 9 15 16	10	1 18	3	4 11	5 6 12 13	7 14	8 6 15 1	5 3	4 15	9 16	10 II 17 18	12	13	5	6 7	8 4 15	9 10	1
							•	-	19 3	10 21	22 2	24	25	17	18	19 20 26 27	21	22 2	3 3	1 22	23	24 25	25	27	10	20 2	22	23 24	3



Green marking: standard non-TCR variant for working days

Yellow marking: standard non-TCR variant for weekends

**Red** marking: TCR/TCR window variant

#### Capacity Model – Standard non-TCR working day

((TTR



RNE

As minimum requirement the IMs shall define **at least one** standard non-TCR Capacity Model variant for the TT period.



The IMs shall strive to define this variant based **on a working day**, which represents the standard traffic situation as far as possible.



TEM

58)

#### Capacity Model – Variants for particular periods



RNE

The IMs can create further variants in order to better reflect to the **different traffic needs of various periods** 



Particular days of the

week



Weekends





It will be up to the IMs to create additional Capacity Model variants, the decision-making should consider the business trends (own forecasts, information received via CNAs) and the available resources (HR, IT), as well.

(59)

#### Capacity Model – Variants for TCR / TCR window periods



RNE

The IMs can create Capacity Model variants for TCR / TCR window periods to show the cancelled, replaced and re-routed volumes.



Capacity Model variants for TCR / TCR window periods are created for all affected part of the network (from PLC to PLC)





#### Capacity Model variants for TCR periods



RNE

In case the TCR or the TCR window has effects on the volumes at a border section, then the Capacity Model variant(s) shall be coordinated with the neighbouring IM.

Capacity Model variant with effects on the border volumes Coordinated Capacity Model variants have to be created by the IMs

61)

Additional rerouted freight Mühldorf volumes Additional ream linn routed freight Salzburg DB NETZE Hbf Major impact TCR /full closure/ Traunstein Rosenheim Additional ret vounes les freist Additional, les. freight ŐВВ TCR variant should be created Capacity Model variants for TCR periods are INFRA Bischofs Kufstein hofen Additional re-routed created on mandatory basis in connection volumes (e.g. freight, long Standard Capacity Model distance passenger) with Major (published latest at X-18) & High is in force impact TCRs (published latest at X-12)

#### Capacity Model variants – TCR periods

Calculating the capacity consumed by TCRs:

RNE

- Based on TCR Impact calculation (only cancelled, re-routed, replaced)
- The capacity consumed by the TCR would be visualised based on assumptions. These calculations would consider the TCR categorisation (national/international). The used categorisation shall be included into the Capacity Strategy.
- IMs would have the option to specify the ratio based on past experiences.

