

Rolling Planning Requirements

Market View

Applicants and Railway Undertakings (hereafter "RUs") consider the "Rolling Planning" product as the fundamental element of TTR and the Capacity Regulation. By overcoming the legacy of annual timetabling, a unique product is to serve a concrete demand, once it emerges. Rolling Planning has great potential to bring innovation and flexibility to the capacity management. This potential shall not be wasted or hindered by inconsistent provisions, interpretations, or diverging implementation. Below RUs set out the key requirements on the Rolling Planning product and process, which will ensure customer-oriented, benefit-bringing results.

RUs place one single request for capacity:

- At any time, including when the first train is scheduled in less than month.
- From origin to destination, including tailor-made stretches, also on infrastructure that is not pre-planned, and including service facilities.
- For a period up to 36 months, without any limitation.

IMs respond to the requests:

- max. 5 days for ad-hoc running days within one month.
- With internationally harmonised offers from origin to destination, aligned with service facilities.
- For the current and or upcoming timetable period with a path
- For consecutive timetable periods with capacity that does not deviate by more than 30 minutes for passenger and freight RUs at commercial/production stops, where the path, allocated in the first timetable period, must be considered as anchor point and
 - any kind of deviation above 30 mins (for instance, due to Temporary Capacity Restriction) must be aligned with the applicants,
 - conversion of capacity into path shall be done 5 to 2,5 months prior to the start of new working timetable.

The process shall:

- Include the integrated development of strategic planning documents with essential service facilities and interested RUs.
- Produce internationally harmonised strategic planning documents, for instance pre-planned Rolling Planning capacities that match across borders.
- Allow RUs to perform feasibility checks for potential train concepts at any time.
- Support proactive RU–IM communication about changes throughout the allocated capacity lifecycle, including consultation and coordination.
- Be customer-orientated, avoid patchwork solutions and be reasonable digitalised.
- Include fair and reasonable "Commercial Conditions" for both IMs and RUs.

We are convinced that following these requirements will help improve the competitiveness of the rail sector and reflect the needs of RUs and their customers.



Requirement	Reasoning
	Freight customers sometimes want to start the
	service in days or weeks. Rail shall not bind itself to
	1-month latest deadline to apply, when trucks can be
	ordered as fast as a few hours.
	Without international harmonised and good quality of
	the capacity, RUs might not use Rolling Planning at
	all.
Single request for a period up to 36 months	RUs want a simple single request method. Today it is
	a patchwork of several requests via multiple IT
	systems at different points in time, and the burden of
	workload and coordination is on RUs. A customer-
	oriented approach is, when RUs do not care whether
	the capacity is provided via ad hoc, rolling planning, or a framework agreement.
	Freight customers want transport from point A to B.
	They are not interested in solutions where RUs can
	only get close to A or B. Rolling Planning shall not be
	limited only to pre-planned capacity products or parts
	of the network and shall not be a patchwork of
	requests for pre-planned and non-preplanned
	stretches.
	Rail path is not enough for carriage by rail; activities
	such as (un)loading, stops, and parking are essential
	for the execution and costs. Integration of service
	IMs´ facilities and reasonable integration of private
	facilities must be ensured.
	RUs need more competitive response times from
	IMs, to get closer to road-transport companies, which
	give customers answers in hours or a day.
	Freight RUs need certainty in the form of a train path.
upcoming timetable period with a path.	Exact timetable details are needed for production
	planning. It would be a higher level of unpredictability
	than today, if IMs have the mandate to change routes, stopping and travel times.
	The future is not fully predictable, nor for IMs, nor for
	RUs, certain flexibility is to the benefit of the system.
	However, too benevolent deviation option for the IMs,
	might cause the train run is no longer possible
·	according to the customer's wish and with envisaged
	costs. A deviation of more than 30 minutes for freight
	could make the matching slot in a terminal/port
	unusable. Customer consultation must be ensured.
	Exact timetable details are needed for production
2 months prior to the start of the new	planning, and the certainty gives only the train path.
working timetable	Nevertheless, the conversion from "capacity
	specifications" to "path" shall not happen too early
	(under the annual timetable allocation process) when
	the exact customer needs are not defined.
Feasibility check possibility for RUs of the	Customers can approach RUs any time. RUs need a
concept at any time	good service provider in IMs, who is able to check
	their train path concept at any time and with a competitive response time.
	Strategic planning documents create binding rules for
	RUs on how they can operate the trains. Thus, it is
	absolutely essential that RUs and relevant service
adminos and intorostou 1105	facilities are actively involved, and their needs
	seriously considered in the IMs' planning.
•	SCHOUSIV COHSIDELED III DE DIVIS DISTINUID
Internationally harmonised strategic	A customer-orientated approach is not a patchwork of requests for rolling planning and non-rolling planning



planned Rolling Planning capacities that match over borders.	internationally harmonised over the border and planned until the next node where matching capacity is available.
Proactive RU/IM communication through the whole allocated capacity life cycle	Active communication is absolutely necessary to ensure market-bearable solutions and early involvement to any kind of changes.
Reasonable "Commercial Conditions" for both IMs and RUs	Capacity commitments shall be kept. The commercial conditions shall be reciprocal, but not equal, while IM is able to resell the capacity to other applicants, the damage for the RUs not able to fulfil the customercontracts has more serious economic and reputational consequences.